# Implementing an "Aid to Decision-making Form" (ADF) for the stratification of care in cancer

L Vigouret-Viant MD<sup>1</sup>, F Blot MD<sup>2</sup>, S Voisin-Saltiel MD<sup>1</sup>, ME Geraud MD<sup>1</sup>, M Ducreux MD PhD<sup>3</sup>, N Hubert HNIC<sup>3</sup>, S Laurent MD<sup>1</sup>, S Dauchy MD<sup>1</sup>

Gustave Roussy, Université Paris-Saclay, <sup>1</sup>Département de Soins de Support, <sup>2</sup>Département de Soins Aigus, <sup>3</sup>Département de Médecine Oncologique, 94805 Villejuif Cedex - France

## Background

During care for cancer patients, life-threatening conditions may suddenly appear turning healthcare choices into tough decisions. When anticipated directives regarding terminal issues are unknown, maximum therapeutic engagement is usually the preferred choice, but is not always the best solution for the patient and his/her relatives. It is therefore essential to anticipate therapeutic strategies\*. Until now, no document has met with widespread approval in oncology.

#### Aims

The present work describes the **implementation of an "Aid to Decision-making Form" (ADF) for the stratification of care in cancer inpatients** in a large comprehensive cancer center.

# Results

The ADF contains information on:

- who filled out the form,
- when and in which circumstances,
- describes the patient's current clinical status,
- the stratification of care decided,
- and how this decision was shared with the patient.

The form was pilot-tested over 2 months in several units with patients receiving palliative care. Then, it was rapidly extended to the whole hospital. It is now updated at each oncology and palliative care joint staff meeting and systematically included in the patient's medical record.

## Methods

Multidisciplinary focus group composed of doctors (oncologists, palliative and supportive care team, intensive care specialists), and senior nurses had worked for 3 months at the beginning of 2015 to design this ADF. The form was then submitted to the Internal Ethics Committee.

Étiquette patient		AIDE DECISIONNELLE								
Les éléments présents dans cette fiche sont une AIDE A LA DECISION DE GRADATION DE SOINS et NE constituent PAS une décision										
médioaie irrévocable. Cette fiche doit être rempie après accord du référent étiou d'un sénior.										
Cette fiche doit être rempile à chaque nouvelle hospitalisation et/ou en cas de modification de la prise en charge et/ou avant tout transfert										
(notamment vers Onco ou SSR Chevilly-Larue).										
CETTE FICHE A ETE REMPLIE :										
	Lors d'une ad	mission	le / / 20							
	En situation d	'urgence	le / / 20							
	Pour un trans	lert	le / / 20							
PAR	Médecin référe	at (Nom		1						
	Autre médecin (Nom									
	<ul> <li>Discussion collègiale, le / / 20 (Cette décision collégiale prévaut des lors sur la décision en argence)</li> </ul>									
	Médecir	-	(Nom							
	<ul> <li>Médecir</li> </ul>		(Nom							
	Médecir	1 de l'EMASP	(Nom	)						
	<ul> <li>Interne;</li> </ul>	; o Cadre I	nfimler; a.IDEC;	n.infimier(s);	Aide(s) soignant(s);					
	Autre(s)	l	(Nom	)						

DESCRIPTION CLINIQUE :

#### Stratification of care (in case a problem occurs)

- Intensive care whatever the problem is
- □ Intensive care if the problem is able to be quickly resolved
- □ Maximal care in unit (without CPR, intubation, ...)
- □ Exclusive palliative care (comfort care)

#### **Critical situations**

Cataclysmic hemorrhage: anticipated prescriptions
 Respiratory distress: anticipated prescriptions

# **Further Developments**

An audit is ongoing on ADF use in the hospital for patients transferred from one bloc of the hospital to an other one.

All items contained in the ADF are analyzed and compared to clinical status of each patient when arriving at the second bloc.

A final assessment of patient clinical evolution is made three months after.

Decision made during this lapse of time are compared to those contained in the ADF Until now 96 ADF where collected, out of 130 patients eligible for this study, and 300 ADF are expected for complete analysis.

Partial analysis shows that stratification of care and patient's current clinical status seem to be well described, but traceability of decision sharing with patient and family must be improved.



\* Fritz Z, Fuld JP. Development of the Universal Form of Treatment Options (UFTO) as an alternative to DNACPR orders: a cross-disciplinary approach. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice. 2015, 21: 109-117.
\* Jones J, Nowels C, Kutner JS et al. Shared decision making and the use of a patient decision aid in advanced serious illness: provider and patient perspectives. Health Expect. 2014, 18: 3236-3247.

1- Etat général HABITUEL, <u>au cours du</u>	dernier mols (OMS)	o0 o1	o2	o3 o	4						
2- Situation therapeutique actuelle :											
<ul> <li>Statut indéterminé, en cours d'exploration</li> <li>Traitement adjuvant / néoadjuvant</li> <li>Traitement en phase métastadue – Nº lignes chimio</li> <li>Inclusion dans un essai clinique (allo astreinte DITEP si patient sulvi par le DITEP)</li> <li>Intervaile thérapeutique programmé (surveillance)</li> <li>Intervaile thérapeutique non programmé (toxicité, AEG)</li> <li>Soins de confort exclusifs</li> </ul>											
3- Patient pris en charge par l'EMASP											
GRADATION DES SOINS En cas de dégradation clinique :											
<ul> <li>Réanimation++ quelle que soit l'indication.</li> <li>Réanimation à discuter (réa + onco) selon le type d'atteinte algué (réversible ou non)</li> <li>Soins maximaux en saile</li> <li>Soins de confort exclusifs</li> </ul>											
EXISTENCE DE SITUATIONS A RISQUE :											
n Hémorragie cataciysmique :	CAT <u>anticipée</u> (RI, bi Prescriptions anticipé			UI 🗆 NON							
Détresse respiratoire asphyxiante :	Trachéo si obstructio Prescriptions anticipé										
LE PATIENT ET LES PROCHES DECISION <u>DISCUTEE</u> II OUI II NON <u>SI oui</u> AVEC : II Le patient II La personne de conflance (Nom											
	Proches (le(s)quel(s)				)						
LE / / 20, PAR Nom											
EXISTE-T-IL DES DIRECTIVES ANTICIPEES U OUI U NON OU ?											

## Conclusion

The ADF clarifies medical decisions in complex situations, promotes a multidisciplinary approach, facilitates the traceability of the stratification of care and ensures that the information imparted to the patient and his/her relatives is documented.

First results of an ongoing audit show that this form is well accepted and fulfilled. Prospective evaluation will also compare the decisions made in emergency situations to those recommended by the ADF.